

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,162	07/15/2003	Max E. Ramey	40217.0001USC2 2176	
23552	7590 10/14/2004		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903		BOS, STEVEN J		
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	An	plication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summ		/622,162	RAMEY ET AL.		
omee , tetrem cannot		aminer	Art Unit		
The SAAU INC DATE of this		even Bos	1754		
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appears	on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If the period for reply specified above is less to If NO period for reply is specified above, the no - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion and the period by the Office later than three arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	DMMUNICATION. pe provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply within maximum statutory period will app iod for reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may a reply be the statutory minimum of thirty (30) by and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>13 Noven</u>	<u>nber 2003</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL.	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in	the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject t		ction requirement.			
Application Papers		·			
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Eveniner				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_	lorb) 🗆 objected to buth			
Applicant may not request that					
			• •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
The dath of declaration is obj	ected to by the Examin	er. Note the attached Offic	ce Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the In	ternational Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).	-		
* See the attached detailed Office	ce action for a list of the	certified copies not receive	ved.		
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		о п			
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	ry (PTO-413) Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO		5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action S	ummary F	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 09292004		

It is noted that the amendment to the specification filed November 13, 2003 was not entered because the page is blank after the recited "Please delete the first sentence of the application following the title on page 1 and insert" and there is no amendment on a following page.

Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,162

Art Unit: 1754

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Copenhafer '054. See cols. 7,8,11,17.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Copenhafer '335. See cols. 2,6,8,9,11.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 10/622,162

Art Unit: 1754

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Copenhafer '054 or Copenhafer '335.

Each of the references teaches the instantly claimed process but may differ in that process steps in addition to those instantly claimed are taught. However the instant open comprising language does not exclude the additional steps. Also, calcining sodium monohydrate to form anhydrous sodium carbonate may not be stated however it is taught that calcining sodium monohydrate forms soda ash which is the same as anhydrous sodium carbonate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 571-272-1350. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM-6PM but is on increased flexitime sch.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,162

Art Unit: 1754

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Bos

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754

sjb